Late Sheet

Society, Environment and Council Development Executive Advisory Board

13 July 2017



SOCIETY, ENVIRONMENT, AND COUNCIL DEVELOPMENT EXECUTIVE ADVISORY BOARD

13 JULY 2017

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

AGENDA ITEM 5: REVISED GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS: 12 MONTH REVIEW

At its meeting on Monday evening, the Borough, Economy, and Infrastructure Executive Advisory Board considered the recommendations outlined in the report, and agreed to submit the (amended) recommendations set out below to full Council on 25 July 2017. Overview and Scrutiny Committee also considered the report at its meeting on Tuesday evening and concurred with the Borough EAB.

- (1) That *the Council continues* the public webcasting of meetings of the Executive Advisory Boards. be discontinued with immediate effect.
- (2) That an annual/ a bi-annual meeting between all members of the Executive
 Leadership and the EAB and O&S Committee chairmen and vice-chairmen (together
 with one representative from the Corporate Management Team) be established
 to discuss topic areas for future work programmes and to discuss how the EABs and
 O&S Committee could make a more effective contribution to the decision-making
 process.
- (3) That, in order to improve the arrangements for topic selection and agenda planning, the Executive/CMT be requested to provide suggestions for topic areas for EABs drawn from the (revised) Corporate Plan Action Plan for consideration at future work programme meetings and to have a CMT (as well as Executive) representative attend those meetings.
- (4) That the approach to development of the O&S Committee work programme be broadened, by amending O&S Procedure Rules to introduce a more flexible approach to topic selection through replacing the topic selection flow chart in O&S Procedure Rules with the PAPER tool.
- (5) That, *in addition to raising questions at meetings*, O&S Committee members should have an opportunity for putting written questions to lead councillors attending O&S Committee meetings in advance so that written answers may be prepared.
- (6) That lead councillors should normally present matters (*with officer support* supported by officers as appropriate) for discussion at EAB meetings and engage actively in a dialogue with the EABs regarding those matters, and that the terms of reference of the EABs be amended accordingly.
- (7) That EABs be encouraged to set up task groups to research and review areas for policy development, subject to consideration of implications for staff resources and to the appropriate lead councillors attending meetings of such task groups in an ex officio capacity.
- (8) That the focus for public engagement should be aimed more at O&S than EABs.
- (9) That more proactive measures for public engagement in respect of the work of the O&S Committee and the EABs be established by:

- (a) inviting suggestions for the O&S work programme from the public and partners as well as officers and councillors; and
- (b) alerting the public about O&S **and EAB** agenda topics on days leading up to the meeting, on the day of the meeting and action agreed at the meeting through press releases/social media.
- (10) That progress on matters previously considered by EABs be reported back to them periodically when appropriate.
- (11) That a briefing note be provided to those officers invited to attend O&S Committee meetings to ensure there is full comprehension of the process, including the role of scrutiny and the Scrutiny Officer.

SOCIETY, ENVIRONMENT, AND COUNCIL DEVELOPMENT EXECUTIVE ADVISORY BOARD

13 JULY 2017

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

AGENDA ITEM 6: PROGRESS ON COUNCILLOR INVOLVEMENT IN THE PREPARATION OF THE BUDGET

At its meeting on Monday evening, the Borough, Economy, and Infrastructure Executive Advisory Board nominated Councillors Nils Christiansen, Colin Cross, Mike Piper and Matthew Sarti for appointment to the Joint EAB Budget Task Group for 2017-18.

Councillors Gunning, Quelch, Reeve and Reeves have been put forward as prospective nominees from the Society, Environment and Council Development Executive Advisory Board.

AGENDA ITEM 7: PROGRESS ON ITEMS PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED BY THE EAB

Since the publication of the agenda for this meeting, please note the following updates on progress:

Date of Meeting	Item	Lead Officer	Lead Councillor	Action Agreed	Progress to date
7 April 2016	The Future of the Electric Theatre	Peter O'Connell	Councillor Nikki Nelson-Smith)	The Board fully supported the recommendations for Executive's consideration. The Board suggested that detailed specifications for community use of the Electric Theatre, as well as a break clause, should be included when setting out the terms and conditions as part of the procurement exercise	On 19 April 2016, the Executive authorised the Director of Environment, in consultation with the Lead Councillor, the Director of Corporate Services and the Electric Theatre and Yvonne Arnaud Theatre Working Group:
					a) To agree the precise form of the procurement exercise
					b) To agree the criteria to be used in the procurement exercise (including the nature and extent of the community use to be secured)
					c) To agree the nature and form of any disposal of The Electric Theatre pursuant to the procurement exercise
					 d) To carry out the procurement exercise
					e) To report back to the Executive at the conclusion of the above and prior to the award of any contract and/or disposal of The Electric Theatre
					On 03/01/07 the Executive resolved to award the lease of The Electric Theatre, subject to the terms of their offer and
					relevant negotiations, to the Academy of Contemporary Music; to negotiate any
					reasonable amendments with the ACM
					regarding the lease or other terms; and to facilitate the mobilisation of the theatre in

Date of Meeting	Item	Lead Officer	Lead Councillor	Action Agreed	Progress to date
					preparation of handing over to the ACM as expeditiously as possible. Update 13/07/17 – the ACM has signed a 20-year lease on the Electric Theatre, and existing GBC staff have transferred to ACM as part of the move.
	Business Planning – General Fund Outline Budget 2017-18	Claire Morris (Head of Financial Services)	Illman	The Board submitted the following comments to the Executive: a) no comment on the medium term financial strategy b) In relation to the variances in section 11 and Appendix 3 between the 2016-17 budget and the 2017-18 outline budget, the Board expressed concern: • over the inference from paragraph 11.10 of the report that the Council is pushing the solution to the funding gap into the future by identifying the necessary savings of around £5m over the five-year period to 2020-21; • that some of the potential actions for reducing the funding gap listed (a) to (h) in paragraph 11.17, for example, adjusting some of the	The General Fund Budget was considered by the Executive on 24 January and full Council at the budget meeting on 8 February 2017. Council approved the General Fund Capital Estimates, and the Minimum Revenue Provision policy, together with the Prudential Indicators and limits for 2017-18 to 2021-22.

Date of Meeting	Item	Lead Officer	Lead Councillor	Action Agreed	Progress to date
				assumptions, do not give	
				the impression that real	
				savings will be achieved.	
				Officers responded by	
				acknowledging that the	
				funding gap is being	
				addressed by the	
				Council's transformation	
				programme but that	
				proposals arising	
				inevitably take time to	
				introduce. The Lead Councillor for Finance	
				accepted the need to	
				face up to the significant	
				financial challenges	
				facing the Council in the	
				future.	
				ratare.	
) The Board supported the	
				proposed growth bids and	
				proposals for savings or	
				income	
				b) The Board supported the	
				proposed use of the new	
				homes bonus, business rates	
				equalisation and budget	
				pressures reserves as	
				described in the report	
) In relation to the suggested	
				ways, outlined in paragraph	
				11.17 that officers proposed	
				to balance the budget for	
				2017-18, the Board expressed	
				concern that some of the	
				potential actions for reducing	

Date of Meeting	Item	Lead Officer	Lead Councillor	Action Agreed	Progress to date
				the funding gap listed (a) to	
				(h) in paragraph 11.17, for	
				example, adjusting some of	
				the assumptions, did not give	
				the impression that real	
				savings would be achieved.	
) In relation to the proposed	
				fees and charges, the Board	
				expressed concern that the	
				proposed increase in burial	
				charge for an earthen grave	
				6ft x 3 ft (children's section)	
				was 10.6% (£85), the highest	
				percentage increase in all the	
				proposed cemetery charges.	

This page is intentionally left blank